

PLANNING PROPOSAL No. 5

SUNDRY AMENDMENTS



YOUNG SHIRE COUNCIL

Date Council resolved to prepare: 15 June 2011
Date sent to LEP Review Panel:
Date Gateway Determination received:
Date Council Meeting resolved to place on public exhibition:
Date Council determined submissions:

PART 1 – OBJECTIVES OR INTENDED OUTCOMES

The objective is to make minor corrections to Young LEP 2010 arising from oversights or errors that arose during the preparation of the LEP. The proposed changes, including mapping amendments, are detailed in Attachment 1.

PART 2 – EXPLANATION OF THE PROVISIONS

The changes to the LEP, including mapping amendments, covered by this planning proposal are listed in Attachment 1.

In addition to the amendments contained in this planning proposal there are several minor amendments that have been addressed in other planning proposals. Minor amendments have been included in other planning proposal because in each case the minor amendment related to the topic of the other planning proposal or related to land adjacent to the other planning proposal.

Sundry Amendments covered by other Planning Proposals are:

- Several lots located at Olympic Highway North Young, amend mapping as follows to show minimum lot size 24 Hectares [Planning Proposal No 3 Young Abattoir Lands];
 - LSZ_015 and LSZ_018 to show 24 Hectares (legend code AB)
- Lot 2324 DP 754611 Krebs Lane Young amend mapping as follows from existing zone RE1 with no minimum lot size to zone RU4 minimum lot size 2 hectares [Planning Proposal No 2 Zone RU4 Lands]:
 - LZN_014 to show zone RU4;
 - LSZ_014 to show 2 hectares (legend code Z1);
- Lot 2189 DP 754611 Burrangong Hall Road Young amend mapping as follows from zone RU1 with no minimum lot size to zone RU1 minimum lot size 170 hectares [Planning Proposal No 1A Industrial Expansion Lands];
 - LSZ_013 to show 170 hectares.

PART 3 – JUSTIFICATION

Section A – Need for the planning proposal

1 Is the planning proposal a result of any strategic study or report?

This planning proposal does not arise from any strategic study or report, other than to rectify minor errors in preparation of Young LEP 2010.

Amendments proposed in this planning proposal are in accordance with Young Shire Strategic Landuse strategy Towards 2030.

2 Is the planning proposal the best means or achieving the objectives or intended outcomes, or is there a better way?

The amendments contained in this planning proposal relate to the contents of mapping within the LEP. Council is not aware of any other means for correcting the errors.

3 Is there a net community benefit?

There is net community benefit in reducing the complications that would arise if the corrections were required in the future. Complications that could arise include the processing of development applications and section 149 planning Certificates.

Section B – Relationship to strategic planning framework

4 Is the planning proposal consistent with the objectives and actions contained within the applicable regional or sub-regional strategy (including the Sydney metropolitan Strategy and exhibited draft strategies)?

There are no regional or sub-regional strategies applying to land in Young Shire.

5 Is the planning proposal consistent with the local Council's Community Strategic Plan?

The amendments are minor and are consistent with Council's Community Strategic Plan.

6 Is the planning consistent with applicable state environment planning policies?

The planning proposal is consistent with applicable SEPPs.

7 Is the planning proposal consistent with applicable Ministerial Directions (s.117 directions)?

The planning [proposal is consistent with applicable Ministerial Directions.

Section C – Environmental, social and economic impact

8 Is there any likelihood that critical habitat or threatened species, populations or ecological communities, or their habitats, will be adversely effected as a result of the proposal?

The planning proposal will not result in critical habitat or threatened species, populations or ecological communities or their habitats being affected.

9 Are there any other likely environmental effects as a result of the planning proposal and how they are proposed to be managed?

The planning proposal will not have any other likely environmental effects.

10 How has the planning proposal adequately addressed any social and economic effects?

The planning proposal will not have any social or economic impacts.

Section D – State and Commonwealth Interests

11 Is there adequate public infrastructure for the planning proposal?

The planning proposal will have any impact on public infrastructure.

12 What are the views of State and Commonwealth public authorities consulted in accordance within this gateway determination?

Consultation with the Community and public authorities will be carried out in accordance with the Gateway Determination.

PART 4 – COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

Consultation with the Community and public authorities will be carried out in accordance with the Gateway Determination.

+++++